**Abstract**

**Objective:** Dacrocystorhinostomy (DCR) with silicone tube stenting is a common procedure for congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction (NLDO). The incidence of congenital NLDO is about 6% in the newborn. The duration the tube is left in place varies depending on surgeon preference. Cheese wiring is one of the tube-related complications when the tube is left behind for a long duration. The term cheese wiring refers to the silicone stent or tubing cutting through soft tissue close to the punctum or canaliculi like wire cuts through cheese. We present a case of tube extrusion with cheese wiring five years post DCR.

**Methods:**
- **Design:** Case report
- **Setting:** Tertiary Referral Center
- **Patient:** One

**Results:** A 16-year-old Indian male with congenital bilateral NLDO underwent right and left DCR at ages 9 and 11, respectively. The patient presented with smelly nasal discharge five years later to the ENT clinic. On initial examination the right tube was in place but the left tube was not visualized. Nasal endoscopy however revealed that both tubes were still there and were subsequently removed.

**Conclusion:** DCR with silicone intubation is a common practice. Early follow up is essential to prevent complications. If tube extrusion is suspected, early endoscopic examination is essential to confirm it.
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Dacrocystorhinostomy (DCR) with silicone tube stenting is a common procedure for congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction after failed conservative management including lacrimal apparatus probing and irrigation. The incidence of congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction (NLDO) is about 6% in the newborn. The duration the tube is left in place varies depending on surgeon preference, from several weeks up to more than 12 months. Cheese wiring is one of the complications when the tube is left behind for a long duration. We report a case of cheese wiring five years post DCR.
CASE REPORT

A 16-year-old Indian male presented with history of bilateral epiphora associated with yellowish discharge since birth. He had previous probing and syringing under general anaesthesia in 2002 and early 2003 at the age of 8 years and 9 years, respectively. A dacrocystogram (DCG) in April 2003 showed complete obstruction at the right lacrimal sac and left inferior canaliculus. An ophthalmologist performed right external dacrocystorhinostomy (DCR) with bordeaux tube in September 2003 and left external DCR with bordeaux tube in 2005. On subsequent visits to the outpatient eye department it was thought that the left tube was dislodged. An ENT referral was made for occasional epistaxis and smelly nasal discharge of two months duration. On examination of the eye, the right bordeaux tube was in situ (Figure 1). However on the left eye, the tube could not be visualized with evidence of cheese wiring of the inferior canaliculus (Figure 2). Endoscopic examination revealed that the tubes were covered with greenish encrusted secretions (Figures 3 & 4). Both tubes were removed (Figures 5 & 6). The patient was treated with an oral antibiotic, intranasal steroid and oral antihistamine. On follow up at three months, there was no more epiphora or evidence of foul smelly discharge or epistaxis. Clinically he had a patent canaliculus with no mucopus or polyp seen.

DISCUSSION

Obstruction of the nasolacrimal duct results in disturbed outflow of tears, commonly known as epiphora. Although epiphora is a benign condition, it has social implications. Adeo Toti introduced an operation which he called dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR) for the treatment of epiphora.2 After creating an external approach to the lacrimal...
approach. Powered endoscopic DCR was subsequently popularized by subsequent rigid endoscopes aroused more interest for the endonasal during surgery. The development of operating microscopes and initially limited by the difficulty of visualizing endonasal structures after failed probing and irrigation. The necessity of intubation in DCR with nasolacrimal silicone tube intubation is the treatment of choice. A recent survey of ophthalmologists in the UK showed that the insertion of intubation tubes over DCR without intubation tubes. A recent review of the tube within a week and anticipation of cheese wiring if the tube and cheese wiring. Charalampidou time for tube placement which may have resulted in migration of the tube itself. In this case the patient had congenital NLDO and needed a longer time for tube placement which may have resulted in migration of the tube and cheese wiring. Charalampidou et al. suggested for early review of the tube within a week and anticipation of cheese wiring if the tubes were too tight. In such cases, early follow up is essential to prevent complications. If tube extrusion is suspected, early endoscopic examination is essential to confirm it.

The current gold standard for treating epiphora by ophthalmologists is external DCR. Beginning in the 1970’s, surgeons began to favour DCR with intubation tubes over DCR without intubation tubes. A recent survey of ophthalmologists in the UK showed that the insertion of silicone tubes for external DCR was routine. For congenital NLDO, DCR with nasolacrimal silicone tube intubation is the treatment of choice after failed probing and irrigation. The necessity of intubation in DCR remains controversial even though its use is common practice. Some studies found that silicone intubation improves success rates in children while other studies showed no difference in outcome.

The time frame for silicone tube removal varied in the literature from as early as 3 to 7 weeks to as late as over a year. Charalampidou et al. reviewed a retrospective study of external DCR and found that out of 180 cases, 94 tubes were removed between 2 to 4 months, 24 tubes were removed before the planned 2 – month period and 62 tubes were removed after the 4 month period. The survey of ophthalmologists also found out that the tubes were removed as early as 4 weeks.

Complications of silicone tube insertion include marsupialization of canaliculi, granuloma formation, corneal abrasion, fistula formation, chronic mucopurulent discharge and nasal irritation. Punctal and canalicular complications include punctal stretch, erosion and cheese wiring. The incidence of cheese wiring has been reported to be 2.6% for external DCR and 1.5% for endoscopic DCR. The etiology of cheese wiring results from the tube being placed under tension and left behind for a long duration. Another possible explanation for cheese wiring is that wiping the eye when the eyelids are closed, the stent loop is immobilized such that outward wiping of the eyelid pulls the punctum against the fixed stents. Other causes of cheese wiring may include unintentional trauma during probing or chronic irritation from the tube itself.

In this case the patient had congenital NLDO and needed a longer time for tube placement which may have resulted in migration of the tube and cheese wiring. Charalampidou et al. suggested for early review of the tube within a week and anticipation of cheese wiring if the tubes were too tight. In such cases, early follow up is essential to prevent complications. If tube extrusion is suspected, early endoscopic examination is essential to confirm it.
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